ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET SUBJECT: (Optional) Declassification of House Committee Transcript of CIA Testimony Regarding Leaks EXTENSION **STAT STAT** Deputy Chief, Polygraph Div. 7 December 1984 TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) DATE OFFICER'S COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) RECEIVED FORWARDED 1. I hadonse the attacked STAT comments of DC/PD C/PD 2. 3. 12/12/84: Fer DefPD, OK to use strong language. Stem s, comments hove no bosis in fact. 02 C/PB/PPG 4. 5. Hr. Stein has classy missesten himself. We strough get that idea into our answer. 6. 7. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

۲,

GPO : 1983 O - 411-632

Page 57 - I personally do not agree with Mr. Stein's comments that the polygraph is less effective on the Chinese than the Americans. I also do not agree with the transcript correction from Chinese to "certain ethics" or "certain ethnic groups".

In my opinion, the polygraph can be said to work less effectively on those individuals who do not possess the proper mind set necessary for detection of deception as opposed to those who do have it or can be conditioned to have it. I know of no ethnic group or nationality who live by the lie and whose society does not impose at least some form of sanctions or disapproval for lying.

I personally reject Mr. Stein's comments on this topic as unfounded and recommend the Tab B approach to strike the comment completely. The mere change from "Chinese" to "certain ethics" is simply not true.

I presume Mr. Stein is referring to the case. I see no problem in leaving the comments in the transcript, providing SAG has no problem in this area.

STAT STAT

The remaining comments Stein made about the polygraph, although not necessarily accurate, are not classified and can be left in tact.

	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET	
SUBJECT: (Optional) Declassification CIA Testimony R			ittee T	ranscript of	
FRON			EXTENSION	NO. 25 DATE 3 December 1984 25	
Chief, Policy Branch/PFG 6S04 Stafford					
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE RECEIVED FORWARDED		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.	
Chief, Polygraph Division 15-16 Hqs.		PORWARDED		25.	
2.				Attached is the OCC material I mentioned to you last week.	
3.				Please let me know what you think and we'll send the data to Dave.	
4.				You may wish also to suggest changing the work "deviation,"	
5.				in line 16, page 62, to "derivation."	
6.				The 10 December 1984 deadline I'm establishing in PPG is artificial, but I expect Dave	
7.				really would appreciate a prompt response. Thanks.	
8.					
9.					
10.		*		-	
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.				-	
ORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS		SECRET		GPO : 1983 0 - 411-632	

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/08/19: CIA-RDP87S00869R000600080019-3

SECRET

OLL 84-4530 28 November 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chief, Policy Branch, Office of Security	25 X 1
FROM:		25X2
	Legislation Division Office of Legislative Liaison	

Declassification of House Committee Transcript

1. The Staff Director of the Subcommittee on Civil Service of the House Committee on Post Office and Civil Service has requested that the Agency provide an unclassified transcript of the DDO's testimony before that Subcommittee on the harmful effects that leaks have on our nation's security. The DDO's testimony contains several highly classified examples of leaks. In March of 1984, the DDO approved unclassified summaries of each example contained in the DDO's testimony. These unclassified summaries were provided to the Staff Director, Andrew Feinstein. Mr. Feinstein indicated that these unclassified summaries were not sufficient because they did not convey the seriousness of the leak problem. He, therefore, requested that we conduct a line-by-line review of the transcript and delete only that information which is classified.

of CIA Testimony Regarding Leaks

- 2. After careful review, the DDO has approved for release to the public a sanitized Committee transcript. (See transcript at Tab A.) Items which are to be deleted because they contain classified information are crossed out. For each deletion made, the Agency has substituted a generic description of the material deleted, or indicated that words have been deleted. The NSA is also attempting to sanitize and declassify a separate portion of the transcript containing examples of leaks pertaining to COMINT.
- 3. In several instances, the testimony of the DDO touches upon items dealing with the Office of Security. Before any of this information pertaining to the Office of Security can be released, it will be necessary to obtain the concurrence of appropriate officials within the Office of Security. Items

DOWNGRADED	TO	SECRET	WHEN
SEPARATED	FROM	ATTACE	IMENTS

SUBJECT:

25X1

SECRET

pertaining to the Office of Security can be found on pages 52-53, 55-57 and 61-62 of the transcript. You may recall that last spring you reviewed these items and approved for release some of the items. (Attached at Tab B is the original sanitization of Office of Security information.) After reviewing this original sanitization, Mr. Feinstein requested that the Office of Security consider deleting certain detailed information in the DDO's statement regarding the polygraph rather than the entire statement. The proposed limited sanitization of Office of Security information can be found on the aforementioned pages at Tab A. It is requested that you review this limited sanitization and provide us with your comments at your earliest convenience.

Attachments	
116 646111161165	

25X1

2

